

U.S. District Court
District of Columbia (Washington, DC)
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:19-cv-01283-EGS

GULLEY v. DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
Assigned to: Judge Emmet G. Sullivan
Cause: 05:552 Freedom of Information Act

Date Filed: 05/02/2019
Jury Demand: None
Nature of Suit: 895 Freedom of Information Act
Jurisdiction: U.S. Government Defendant

Plaintiff**RICHARD GULLEY**

represented by **Patrick Joseph Hughes**
PATRIOTS LAW GROUP OF LYONS & HUGHES, P.C.
5819 Allentown Rd.
Suitland, MD 20746
301-952-9000
Email: PatrickHughes@patriotslaw.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Defendant**DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY**

represented by **Jeremy Allen Haugh**
U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
555 Fourth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20530
(202) 252-2574
Fax: (202) 252-2599
Email: jeremy.haugh@usdoj.gov
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
05/02/2019	<u>1</u>	COMPLAINT against All Defendants (Filing fee \$ 400 receipt number 0090-6099346) filed by RICHARD GULLEY. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Civil Cover Sheet, # <u>2</u> Summons, # <u>3</u> Summons, # <u>4</u> Summons)(Hughes, Patrick) (Entered: 05/02/2019)
05/03/2019		Case Assigned to Judge Emmet G. Sullivan. (zef,) (Entered: 05/03/2019)
05/03/2019	<u>2</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR.....SUMMONS (3) Issued Electronically as to DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, U.S. Attorney and U.S. Attorney General. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Notice and Consent)(zef,) Modified on 5/3/2019 (zef,). (Entered: 05/03/2019)
05/03/2019		NOTICE OF CORRECTED DOCKET ENTRY: re <u>2</u> Summons Issued Electronically as to AUSA was entered in error and counsel was instructed to refile Summons for FOIA Nature of Suit cases. (zef,) (Entered: 05/03/2019)
05/03/2019		SUMMONS Not Issued as to DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY. (zef,) (Entered: 05/03/2019)
05/06/2019	<u>3</u>	REQUEST FOR SUMMONS TO ISSUE filed by RICHARD GULLEY. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Summons, # <u>2</u> Summons)(Hughes, Patrick) (Entered: 05/06/2019)
05/08/2019	<u>4</u>	SUMMONS (3) Issued Electronically as to DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, U.S. Attorney and U.S. Attorney General (jf) (Entered: 05/08/2019)
05/10/2019	<u>5</u>	STANDING ORDER: The parties are directed to read the attached Standing Order Governing Civil Cases Before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan in its entirety upon receipt. The parties are hereby ORDERED to comply with the directives in the attached

		Standing Order. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 05/10/19. (Attachment: Exhibit 1) (mac) (Entered: 05/10/2019)
07/23/2019	<u>6</u>	RETURN OF SERVICE/AFFIDAVIT of Summons and Complaint Executed. DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY served on 7/22/2019 (Hughes, Patrick) (Entered: 07/23/2019)
07/23/2019	<u>7</u>	RETURN OF SERVICE/AFFIDAVIT of Summons and Complaint Executed on United States Attorney General. Date of Service Upon United States Attorney General 7/23/2019. (Hughes, Patrick) (Entered: 07/23/2019)
07/23/2019	<u>8</u>	RETURN OF SERVICE/AFFIDAVIT of Summons and Complaint Executed as to the United States Attorney. Date of Service Upon United States Attorney on 7/23/2019. Answer due for ALL FEDERAL DEFENDANTS by 8/22/2019. (Hughes, Patrick) (Entered: 07/23/2019)
08/22/2019	<u>9</u>	ANSWER to Complaint by DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY.(Haugh, Jeremy) (Entered: 08/22/2019)
10/02/2019		MINUTE ORDER. Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552. The parties are directed to meet and confer and propose a joint scheduling order for further proceedings in this case by no later than November 8, 2019. The parties joint proposed scheduling order shall address the following: (1) The status of plaintiffs FOIA request; (2) The anticipated number of documents responsive to plaintiffs FOIA request; (3) The anticipated date(s) for release of documents responsive to plaintiffs FOIA request; (4)Whether a motion for an Open America stay is likely in this case; (5) Whether a Vaughn index will be required in this case; (6) Whether this case would benefit from referral to a magistrate judge or the District Court Mediation Program for purposes of settlement; and (7) A proposed briefing schedule for dispositive motions, if applicable. If the parties are unable to agree on a joint recommendation, the joint proposed scheduling order shall include each party's individual recommendations. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 10/2/2019. (lcegs2) (Entered: 10/02/2019)